# STRUCTURAL AND MAGMATIC EVOLUTION IN THE LOIMAA AREA, SOUTHWESTERN FINLAND

#### MIKKO NIRONEN

NIRONEN, MIKKO 1999. Structural and magmatic evolution in the Loimaa area, southwestern Finland. Bulletin of the Geological Society of Finland 71, Part 1, 57-71.

Within the Loimaa area there is a junction of the general E-W structural trend of southern Finland and a NW-N-NE curving trend. The structure of the area is dominated by ductile D<sub>3</sub> and D<sub>4</sub> deformations with E-W and N-S axial traces, respectively. The typical semicircular structures in the study area are interpreted as F<sub>3</sub>-F<sub>4</sub> fold interference structures.

The predominant plutonic rocks in the Loimaa area are penetratively foliated tonalites and granodiorites which probably intruded during D<sub>2</sub> deformation. Peak regional metamorphism at upper amphibolite facies and emplacement of the Pöytyä Granodiorite ca. 1870 Ma ago occurred during D<sub>3</sub> deformation. The ductile style of  $D_4$  deformation in the Loimaa area is probably related to the high-grade metamorphism at 1850-1810 Ma in the late Svecofennian granitemigmatite (LSGM) zone immediately south of the study area. The Oripää Granite was emplaced during D<sub>4</sub> deformation. The structural evolution in the Loimaa area may be correlated with the evolution further to the northwest (Pori area) and north (Tampere-Vammala area) whereas correlation to the south and west is problematic. A transpressional model presented for the LSGM zone is not applicable to the Loimaa area.

Key words: structural geology, structural analysis, granites, absolute age, U/Pb, Svecofennian, Proterozoic, Loimaa, Finland

Mikko Nironen: Geological Survey of Finland, P.O. Box 96, FIN-02151 Espoo, Finland. E-mail: mikko.nironen@gsf.fi

# **INTRODUCTION**

The Loimaa area, although rather poorly exposed, is geologically interesting because the general E-W structural trend of southern Finland shifts into a curving trend (Fig. 1). The late Svecofennian granite-migmatite (LSGM) zone of southern Finland extends to the southern part of the study area. Moreover, one of the highest positive magnetic anomalies in southern Finland exists within the study area. The Loimaa area, formerly mapped in the late 1940's and early 1950's (Salli 1953a, 1953b; Huhma 1957, 1959), was remapped by the author as a reconnaissance work for the Global Geoscience Transects Project. Within the limited time  $(2\frac{1}{2} \text{ months})$  emphasis was set on structures, especially on structural setting of certain intrusions.

# LITHOLOGY

#### Supracrustal rocks

The mineral assemblages in the Loimaa area indicate generally upper amphibolite facies with slight differences in metamorphic grade. Salli (1953b) divided the metavolcanic rocks of the area into two groups according to lithological differences and preservation of primary structures. This distinction still appears to hold. The rather wellpreserved metavolcanic rocks in the southern part of the study area (Fig. 1) continue further east as part of the Forssa group of the Häme Belt (see Hakkarainen 1994). In the southeastern part mafic-intermediate pyroclastic rocks containing uralite or less commonly plagioclase clasts predominate. Agglomerate and flow breccia (Fig. 2a) are common. Plagioclase porphyry (Fig. 2b) occurs in an E-W trending, dike-like setting in the southeastern part of the study area. Layered intermediate to felsic tuffites become predominant towards the south.

The metavolcanic rocks of the other group consist mainly of hornblende gneisses. The origin of these rocks is not as obvious as for the first group, but lithological layering with alternating amphibolitic and feldspar-rich layers suggests a volcaniclastic origin. Massive uralite porphyry occurs in places as interlayers within the hornblende gneisses. The faintly layered quartz-feldspar-biotite gneisses devoid of Al-rich porphyroblasts are interpreted as tuffite interlayers. In many places, especially in the western part of the study area, migmatization and assimilation have been so intense that it is difficult to assess whether the rock is a paragneiss or an orthogneiss (Fig. 2c). Moreover, the supracrustal rocks are cross-cut by ubiquitous pegmatite and granite dikes, therefore the area denoted as "hornblende gneiss" in Fig. 1 is very heterogeneous in character.

The metasedimentary rocks are in the northern part of the study area migmatitic, mostly K-feldspar-cordierite gneisses with some garnet-cordierite gneisses, and in the southwestern part migmatitic garnet-cordierite gneisses. The northern margin of the LSGM zone near Aura, marked as granite in Fig. 1, consists of garnet-bearing pegmatite and granite with relics of supracrustal rocks (mostly garnet-cordierite gneiss). The composition of garnets within the gneiss xenoliths indicates granulite facies conditions (Pentti Hölttä, personal communication 1996).

# **Plutonic rocks**

Equigranular, medium-grained tonalites and granodiorites are the most voluminous and probably the oldest plutonic rocks in the Loimaa area. They typically contain mafic magmatic enclaves, and a penetrative foliation can be seen in these rocks (Fig. 2d). The quartz dioritic body in the northern part of the study area grades into tonalite and may thus be coeval with the latter. The E-W trending coarse-porphyritic granite cross-cuts tonalite at some outcrops.

The two oblate bodies in the central part of the study area (NW and SW of Loimaa) consist of granodiorite and granite. At the margins of these bodies migmatitic gneisses grade into a moderately foliated plutonic rock containing wall-rock fragments. This rock is cross-cut by a slightly foliated homogeneous granite.

The large area southwest of Alastaro, mapped as granite, is lithologically heterogeneous. A magnetite-bearing granite apparently causes the high positive magnetic anomaly in Fig. 3. The granite is deformed and variable in grain size, ranging from a fine-grained type to pegmatite. It contains abundant gneissic and tonalitic xenoliths, and the vague appearance of some xenoliths suggests assimilation by the granite. Numerous pegmatitic and aplitic dikes cross-cut both the xenoliths and the host granite.

The southwestern part of the study area is dominated by the large (160 km<sup>2</sup>) Pöytyä Granodiorite. The main part of the pluton is equigranular, medium-grained and granodioritic to tonalitic in composition. A granitic, porphyritic phase with Kfeldspar phenocrysts (up to 15 mm in length) makes up the central part of the pluton. The gra-



Fig. 1. Geological map of the Loimaa area. PG = Pöytyä Granodiorite, OG = Oripää Granite. The small map shows the general structural trends in southern Finland, location of the study area (thick solid line) and the area of Fig. 7 (thick dotted line). LSGM = late Svecofennian granite-migmatite zone, Sk = Skåldö, K = Kemiö, M = Mustio, Su = Suomusjärvi, So = Somero.



dational contact between the rock units suggests a close temporal relationship in their emplacement. Mafic magmatic enclaves and pegmatitic dikes are fairly common in both phases.

The Oripää Granite in the western part of the area is a pinkish rock with highly variable grain size (usually pegmatitic). The granite contains abundant fragments of foliated garnet-bearing biotite gneiss and hornblende gneiss. In many places the rock shows schlieren migmatitic structures consisting of lensoid fragments of biotite gneiss in pegmatitic granite. In the central part of the pluton granite brecciates a medium-grained, foliated tonalite.

#### **STRUCTURES**

Low-altitude aeromagnetic maps were used to support the structural and lithological interpretation. The structural pattern in the study area is characterized by semicircular structures (Fig. 3). Another notable feature is the E-W trending structural pattern in the northern and central part, NE trending pattern in the southwestern part, and N trending pattern in the northwestern part.

The northern part of the study area, occupied by K-feldspar-cordierite gneisses, is the key area for the structural interpretation. The predominant foliation (preferred orientation of mica, and differentiation into mica-rich and quartz-feldspar layers in micaceous lithologies) is subparallel to the lithological layering (Figs. 2e and 2f). K-feldspar

fold hinge area. x = 6767.68, y = 2445.48. f) K-feldspar-cordierite gneiss with leucosome veins subparallel to the composite layering  $(S_1-S_2)$ . The hinge of isoclinally folded bedding between the two leucosome veins is shown by the white arrow. The composite layering and leucosome veins are folded openly by  $F_3$ . The drill hole in upper right part is the sample site for the thin section shown in Fig. 2g. x = 6769.19, y = 2444.35. g)  $F_2$  microfolding in K-feldspar porphyroblasts (marked by white line) and leucosome folded by  $F_3$ . The cluster of fibrous sillimanite (Sil), preserved in undeformed cordierite, is microfolded with an axial trace parallel to that of mesoscopic  $F_3$  folding. porphyroblasts contain an internal foliation defined by inclusion trails of small biotite flakes (Fig. 2g). This foliation is microfolded, and axial traces of these folds are parallel to the orientation of coarser biotite in the matrix. Because no microfabric could be identified parallel to the lithological layering and the inclusion trails define the oldest observed microstructure, lithological layering is interpreted as bedding (S<sub>0</sub>), internal foliation as S<sub>1</sub>, and matrix foliation as S<sub>2</sub>. Presumably K-feldspar grew at an early stage of D<sub>2</sub>, preserving the early microstructure (S<sub>1</sub>) while subsequent coarsening of the matrix and the development of S<sub>2</sub> obliterated S<sub>1</sub> outside the porphyroblasts (cf. Passchier & Trouw 1996, Fig. 7.4).

Lithological layering in the metasedimentary rocks in the northern part is folded by open to isoclinal F<sub>3</sub> folds with steep, approximately E-W striking axial surfaces. Leucosome in K-feldsparcordierite gneisses occurs as veins subparallel to  $S_2$  (Fig. 2f), as veins parallel to  $F_3$  axial plane, and as irregular patches at the hinges of mesoscopic F<sub>3</sub> folds (Fig. 2e). These features indicate migmatization during D<sub>3</sub>. In microscale S<sub>3</sub> is expressed as differentiated crenulation cleavage, associated with reorientation and recrystallization of coarse (syn-D<sub>2</sub>) biotite into small grains, minor growth of biotite parallel to F<sub>3</sub> axial plane, pinitization of cordierite, and sparse formation of muscovite. Commonly cordierite has overgrown coarse biotite that has been reoriented during F3 folding. In areas of intense S3 crenulation cleavage, clusters of fibrous sillimanite within cordierite express two generations of microfolding, the older with axial plane parallel to S<sub>2</sub> in the matrix (not shown) and the younger parallel to  $S_3$  (Fig. 2g). Provided that sillimanite microfolds are related to regional folding episodes, the microstructures described above suggest that cordierite grew during syn-D<sub>3</sub> peak of metamorphism when most of the leucosome was formed, preserved F2 and F3 microfolded sillimanite as relics, and continued to grow after F<sub>3</sub> folding. Relics of sillimanite in cordierite are quite common in pelitic rocks of southern Finland, and microfolding in sillimanite seems to be related to regional folding at least in some areas (e.g. Nironen 1995).



Fig. 3. Low-altitude aeromagnetic map of the Loimaa area.

Regional D<sub>2</sub> deformation was complex in southern Finland (Koistinen et al. 1996) but on the basis of the structures in the northern part the penetrative foliation elsewhere in the study area, marked by coarse biotite and hornblende, is probably S<sub>2</sub>; S<sub>0</sub> and S<sub>1</sub> have largely been transposed to be subparallel to S2. Upright F3 folding transposed D<sub>2</sub> and earlier structures to generally steep orientations (Fig. 4). F<sub>4</sub> folding exists in the study area as open to tight, mesoscopic to macroscopic folds with approximately N-S trending axial traces. In microscale older fabrics are crenulated in mica-rich layers showing minor growth of biotite in the axial plane. The large semicircular structures, including the oval-shaped domal structure in the center of the Loimaa area, are interpeted as type 1 (dome-and-basin) F<sub>3</sub>-F<sub>4</sub> fold interference structures.

Where the tonalites and granodiorites are in contact with supracrustal gneisses the penetrative

foliation in the plutonic rock is parallel to the composite  $(S_0-S_2)$  layering in the gneisses, hence it is interpreted as contemporaneous with  $S_2$ . In areas where the penetrative foliation in the tonalites and granodiorites is folded (Fig. 2d) the fold axial surface is parallel to  $S_3$  in supracrustal rocks. These features imply that the tonalites and granodiorites were emplaced before  $D_2$  or, more probably, at the early stage of  $D_2$  deformation. Also the porphyritic granite in the north as well as the magnetitebearing granite in the central part of the study area contain a  $D_3$  fabric.

The NE trending foliation in the southwestern part of the study area is a composite foliation: migmatitic garnet-cordierite gneisses exhibit differentiated layering in the mesosome that in places is tightly folded (Fig. 5a). The fold style and the occurrence of leucosome are similar to those in the northern part of the study area (cf. Figs. 2e and 5a). Leucosome garnet is devoid of inclusions



Fig. 4. Structural interpretation of the Loimaa area, based on geological data and aeromagnetic signatures.

whereas garnet in the mesosome is riddled with inclusions. Inclusion trails in the mesosome garnet curve and continue as a crenulated foliation in the matrix (Fig. 5b). Microfolding in the matrix is generally tighter than the curvature of the inclusion trails. The matrix foliation is interpreted as  $S_2$ , and the overprinting folding is  $F_3$ . The microstructures indicate that garnet in the mesosome grew when  $F_3$  crenulation had initiated and that the tightening of microfolds in the matrix is the result of continued  $D_3$  deformation after garnet growth.

The Pöytyä Granodiorite is pervasively deformed, and also the cross-cutting pegmatite dikes are deformed. In the northern part of the pluton deformation increases in intensity outwards from the center and the foliation is parallel to the margins. In places the penetrative foliation is deformed by ductile shear zones that are commonly filled with granitic material. In a sample from the granodioritic-tonalitic main phase close to the boundary of the porphyritic variety, feldspars are dynamically recrystallized whereas strain-free quartz is an evidence of post-deformation static recrystallization (Fig. 5c). Penetrative foliation as well as ductile deformation of feldspars indicate deformation at temperatures above ca. 500°C (Gapais 1989). In contrast, feldspars in the por-



Fig. 5. a) Migmatitic garnet-cordierite gneiss ( $F_3$  axial trace is marked by broken line). Length of code bar 15 cm. x = 6742.80, y = 2421.00. b) Microfolding in garnet-cordierite gneiss. Note curvature of inclusion trails in garnet (right) and plagioclase-quartz rim around garnet. x = 6742.56, y = 2421.25. c) Microstructure of the equigranular granodiorite of the Pöytyä batholith. Feldspars are largely recrystallized whereas quartz (Q) is undeformed and has straight or gently curving quartz/quartz grain boundaries (e.g. at the upper right corner). x = 6742.00, y = 2431.46. d) Microstructure of the porphyritic granite of the Pöytyä batholith. Feldspars are subhedral and rather undeformed. The high-angle boundaries within strained quartz (Q) indicate subgrain rotation recrystallization, and irregular margins indicate grain boundary migration recrystallization. x = 6740.50, y = 2424.04.

phyritic phase are subhedral and weakly deformed (Fig. 5d). Strain concentrated in quartz which deformed by combined subgrain rotation recrystallization and grain boundary migration recrystallization, indicating deformation at high temperatures (cf. Passchier & Trouw 1996, p. 48). These microstructural differences suggest that the granodioritic-tonalitic phase was emplaced earlier and deformed during cooling while the porphyritic phase – emplaced later – was still close to melting temperature during coeval deformation. Such conditions would also result in a thermal pulse causing the observed static recrystallization of quartz in the surrounding granodiorite-tonalite. The composite foliation in the supracrustal gneisses is parallel to the contact of the Pöytyä Granodiorite. Close to the northern contact  $S_2$  is folded in the gneisses, and the fold axial planes are parallel to the contact. Hence the granodiorite deformed  $D_2$  structures during emplacement, i.e. the Pöytyä Granodiorite was emplaced after  $D_2$  deformation. At the southern margin  $S_3$  continues across the contact into the pluton – this is also evident from the aeromagnetic map (Fig. 3). At the southwestern margin of the Pöytyä Granodiorite  $S_3$  cuts across a weak contact-parallel fabric. Since the microfabrics in the two plutonic phases indicate deformation at high temperatures,

and since syntectonic pluton emplacement commonly involves geometric continuity between the country rock foliation and pluton fabric (e.g. Brun & Pons 1981), the emplacement of the Pöytyä Granodiorite probably took place during  $D_3$  deformation.

 $F_4$  folding becomes regionally dominant towards the northwest. The fairly symmetric style in the zone of intense  $F_4$  folding suggests that the zone is not a shear zone but a zone of shortening. This is consistent with the large variation in plunge of mineral lineations in the zone. However, in many places it was impossible to assess the foliation-lineation relationships in the composite foliation planes.

The pegmatites of the Oripää Granite are in places slightly deformed, especially in the eastern part, but a medium-grained granitic type is undeformed. Undeformed magnetite-bearing granite/ pegmatite dikes, similar to the undeformed Oripää Granite, are emplaced along axial surfaces of mesoscopic  $F_4$  folds in the western part of the study area.

Late shear zones and faults are clearly visible in low-altitude aeromagnetic maps as distinct negative anomalies cross-cutting the lithological layering. An E-W trending anomaly in the southern part of the study area is a subvertical mylonite zone, 100–300 m in width. In places an S-C fabric is developed, indicating predominantly horizontal (sinistral) sense of movement (Fig. 4). Intense retrogressive alteration (e.g. epidotization) characterizes the mylonite zone.

The NNW trending anomaly in the northwestern part of the study area (Fig. 4), diverging into two anomalies towards the south, has been studied in detail by geophysical methods (Geologian tutkimuskeskus et al. 1993). It is a brittle fault that is younger than the mylonite zone and locates circulation of ground water.

#### **U-Pb ISOTOPIC DATA**

U-Pb isotopic analyses were made on zircon, titanite and monazite from the Pöytyä Granodiorite and the Oripää Granite (Fig. 1 and Table 1). The ages were calculated using the decay constants of Jaffey et al. (1971). The precision is given at the 2-sigma level.

A total of 15 zircon fractions from the porphyritic phase of the Pöytyä Granodiorite yielded an age of 1869  $\pm$  8 Ma (Fig. 6a). An age of 1870  $\pm$ 5 Ma was obtained from eight zircon fractions of the granodioritic-tonalitic main phase, i.e. the same as from the porphyritic phase within error limits (Fig. 6b). There is no indication of an older zircon population except xenoliths that yield distinct isotopic ratios (Fig. 6a, fraction L). The 1784  $\pm$  8 Ma and 1780  $\pm$  12 Ma titanite ages from the porphyritic phase and granodioritic-tonalitic phase, respectively, date cooling through the ca. 650°C closure temperature of titanite (Scott & St-Onge 1995, Pidgeon et al. 1996).

The zircons of the Oripää Granite consist of red and pale brown varieties. There are several differences between the two populations (Table 1, Fig. 6c): 1) uranium content is higher in the red variety in the same density fraction; 2) the red zircons are less discordant than the pale brown ones; and 3) the two populations form separate regression lines,  $1850 \pm 27$  Ma (red) and  $1860 \pm 41$  Ma (pale brown). The large error limits show that there is a considerable dispersal in the two zircon populations suggesting mixing between them. The 1794  $\pm 10$  Ma age of monazite dates cooling below ca. 700°C (Parrish 1990, Mezger et al. 1991).

# STRUCTURAL AND MAGMATIC EVOLUTION

In addition to the age data of the Pöytyä and Oripää granitoids referred above, a few U-Pb datings of rocks in southwestern Finland help constraining the timing of the structural and magmatic evolution in the Loimaa area. A ca. 1890 Ma age has been obtained from a felsic metavolcanic rock of the Häme Belt (Vaasjoki 1994). This age defines the upper limit for the earliest deformation in the Loimaa area. Gabbroic pegmatoids with a ca. 1885 Ma pooled zircon age record the earliest deformational episodes in the Skåldö area (Fig. 1) whereas a ca. 1875 Ma monazite age from the

Sample, fraction <sup>(1)</sup>		Concentration (ppm)		<sup>206</sup> Pb/ <sup>204</sup> Pb measured	Isotopic composition of lea $^{206}$ Pb = 100			d Atomic ratios and radiometric ages. Ma		
_		238U	<sup>206</sup> Pb		<sup>204</sup> Pb	<sup>207</sup> Pb	<sup>208</sup> Pb	<sup>206</sup> Pb/ <sup>238</sup> U	<sup>207</sup> Pb/ <sup>235</sup> U	<sup>207</sup> Pb/ <sup>206</sup> Pb
A12	21 Pöytyä Granodiori	te, porphyrit	tic phase							
A	d>4.5	458.1	119.10	1968	.04650	11.936	9.670	.3005	4.684 1764	.11306
B	titanite; 3.5 <d<3.6< td=""><td>83.8</td><td>23.27</td><td>1117</td><td>.08654</td><td>12.088</td><td>42.355</td><td>.3211</td><td>4.829</td><td>.10909</td></d<3.6<>	83.8	23.27	1117	.08654	12.088	42.355	.3211	4.829	.10909
С	4.3 <d<4.5< td=""><td>503.7</td><td>136.19</td><td>3794</td><td>.02372</td><td>11.651</td><td>8.234</td><td>.3125</td><td>4.881</td><td>.11329</td></d<4.5<>	503.7	136.19	3794	.02372	11.651	8.234	.3125	4.881	.11329
D	4.3 <d<4.5; abr<="" td=""><td>511.1</td><td>132.28</td><td>2159</td><td>.04536</td><td>11.914</td><td>9.877</td><td>.2991</td><td>4.660</td><td>.11299</td></d<4.5;>	511.1	132.28	2159	.04536	11.914	9.877	.2991	4.660	.11299
E	4.3 <d<4.5; ø="">70;</d<4.5;>	506.5	136.09	5535	.01713	11.566	8.223	.3106	4.853	.11334
F	4.3 <d<4.5; ø="">70</d<4.5;>	497.7	130.03	4020	.02298	11.614	8.501	.3020	4.706	.11303
G	4.2 <d<4.3; ø="">130</d<4.3;>	605.1	161.28	8095	.01088	11.430	6.915	.3080	4.792	.11283
Η	abr 4.0 <d<4.2; ø="">110</d<4.2;>	; 777.8	194.24	3778	.02501	11.542	7.067	.2886	4.458	.11203
Ι	abr; turbid 4.0 <d<4.2; abr;<="" td=""><td>916.1</td><td>231.87</td><td>4599</td><td>.02057</td><td>11.492</td><td>7.511</td><td>.2925</td><td>4.522</td><td>.11213</td></d<4.2;>	916.1	231.87	4599	.02057	11.492	7.511	.2925	4.522	.11213
J	4.3 <d<4.5; td="" ø<70;<=""><td>487.3</td><td>129.41</td><td>5239</td><td>.01793</td><td>11.555</td><td>8.867</td><td>.3069</td><td>4.787</td><td>.11312</td></d<4.5;>	487.3	129.41	5239	.01793	11.555	8.867	.3069	4.787	.11312
K	d>4.5; abr	454.9	123.15	4759	.01949	11.522	8.337	.3129	4.856	.11257
L	3.6 <d<4.2; abr;<="" td=""><td>1254</td><td>285.62</td><td>2084</td><td>.04712</td><td>11.892</td><td>15.278</td><td>.2633</td><td>4.085</td><td>.11253</td></d<4.2;>	1254	285.62	2084	.04712	11.892	15.278	.2633	4.085	.11253
M	4.3 <d<4.5; ø="">70;</d<4.5;>	518.7	137.52	6576	.01326	11.500	7.713	.3064	4.782	.11320
N	4.2 <d<4.5; abr;<="" td=""><td>535.6</td><td>141.95</td><td>7657</td><td>.01033</td><td>11.433</td><td>6.771</td><td>.3063</td><td>4.769</td><td>.11293</td></d<4.5;>	535.6	141.95	7657	.01033	11.433	6.771	.3063	4.769	.11293
0	4.2 <d<4.5; ø="">70;</d<4.5;>	594.1	163.73	7648	.01103	11.476	7.218	.3185	4.974	.11326
Р	4.2 <d<4.5; ø="">110 abr</d<4.5;>	; 483.0	127.88	3965	.02406	11.645	8.863	.3060 1720	4.775 1780	.11319 1851
A2	96 Pöytyä Granodior	ite, main pha	ase							
A	4.2 <d<4.3; ø="">70; abr: turbid</d<4.3;>	603.2	122.29	5727	.01203	11.273	8.944	.2343	3.589 1547	.11109 1817
В	4.3 <d<4.5; abr;<="" td=""><td>421.4</td><td>103.27</td><td>12587</td><td>.006606</td><td>11.368</td><td>8,716</td><td>.2833</td><td>4.405</td><td>.11279</td></d<4.5;>	421.4	103.27	12587	.006606	11.368	8,716	.2833	4.405	.11279
С	4.2 <d<4.3; ø="">70;</d<4.3;>	681.1	135.62	7317	.01246	11.210	8.600	.2301	3.503	.11040
D	4.0 <d<4.2; ø="">70;</d<4.2;>	833.7	150.21	5953	.01568	11.144	8.893	.2082	3.138	.10930
E	4.0 <d<4.2; ø="">110</d<4.2;>	; 642.1	135.82	7576	.007777	11.257	8.447	.2445	3.758	.11151
F	abr; long	658.8	138.15	6269	.01259	11.287	9.600	.2424	3.714	.11116
G	4.3 <d<4.5; ø="">70; abr: clear_short</d<4.5;>	421.3	102.50	11664	.006343	11.369	8.798	.2812	4.374	.11283
H	4.3 <d<4.5; abr;<="" td=""><td>411.4</td><td>96.97</td><td>9549</td><td>.004830</td><td>11.309</td><td>8.236</td><td>.2725</td><td>4.223</td><td>.11243</td></d<4.5;>	411.4	96.97	9549	.004830	11.309	8.236	.2725	4.223	.11243
J	titanite; 3.5 <d<3.6 Ø&gt;160; abr</d<3.6 	; 59.2	15.59	509	.19188	13.474	29.382	.3043 1712	4.555 1741	.10857 1775

Table 1. U-Pb isotopic data on zircon, titanite and monazite from the Loimaa area. Error values for each ratio point are available on request.

Table 1 (continued)											
A1357 Oripää Granite											
4.2 <d<4.3; red<="" th=""><th>874.9</th><th>296.01</th><th>4064</th><th>.02374</th><th>11.589</th><th>11.735</th><th>.3176</th><th>4.935</th><th>.1127</th></d<4.3;>	874.9	296.01	4064	.02374	11.589	11.735	.3176	4.935	.1127		
							1778	1808	1843		
4.2 <d<4.3; pale<="" td=""><td>772.6</td><td>232.42</td><td>2139</td><td>.04434</td><td>11.883</td><td>6.681</td><td>.2929</td><td>4.554</td><td>.1128</td></d<4.3;>	772.6	232.42	2139	.04434	11.883	6.681	.2929	4.554	.1128		
							1655	1740	1844		
4.0 <d<4.2; red<="" td=""><td>1217.1</td><td>354.97</td><td>2878</td><td>.03416</td><td>11.682</td><td>5.792</td><td>.2871</td><td>4.439</td><td>.1122</td></d<4.2;>	1217.1	354.97	2878	.03416	11.682	5.792	.2871	4.439	.1122		
							1626	1719	1834		
4.0 <d<4.2; pale<="" td=""><td>1104.1</td><td>281.97</td><td>1910</td><td>.05096</td><td>11.870</td><td>6.347</td><td>.2491</td><td>3.838</td><td>.1117</td></d<4.2;>	1104.1	281.97	1910	.05096	11.870	6.347	.2491	3.838	.1117		
							1433	1600	1828		
3.6 <d<4.0; pale<="" td=""><td>1490.9</td><td>292.16</td><td>913</td><td>.10872</td><td>12.337</td><td>8.167</td><td>.1857</td><td>2.780</td><td>.1086</td></d<4.0;>	1490.9	292.16	913	.10872	12.337	8.167	.1857	2.780	.1086		
							1097	1350	1775		
3.6 <d<4.0; red<="" td=""><td>1519.2</td><td>325.59</td><td>1285</td><td>.07701</td><td>11.964</td><td>6.779</td><td>.2072</td><td>3.119</td><td>.1092</td></d<4.0;>	1519.2	325.59	1285	.07701	11.964	6.779	.2072	3.119	.1092		
							1213	1437	1785		
monazite	1633.8	4825.9	7163	.01277	11.140	940.8	.3234	4.890	.1097		
							1806	1800	1794		
	<i>le 1 (continued)</i> 57 Oripää Granite 4.2 <d<4.3; red<br="">4.2<d<4.3; pale<br="">4.0<d<4.2; red<br="">4.0<d<4.2; pale<br="">3.6<d<4.0; pale<br="">3.6<d<4.0; red<br="">monazite</d<4.0;></d<4.0;></d<4.2;></d<4.2;></d<4.3;></d<4.3;>	le 1 (continued)         57 Oripää Granite         4.2 <d<4.3; red<="" td="">       874.9         4.2<d<4.3; pale<="" td="">       772.6         4.0<d<4.2; red<="" td="">       1217.1         4.0<d<4.2; pale<="" td="">       1104.1         3.6<d<4.0; pale<="" td="">       1490.9         3.6<d<4.0; red<="" td="">       1519.2         monazite       1633.8</d<4.0;></d<4.0;></d<4.2;></d<4.2;></d<4.3;></d<4.3;>	le 1 (continued)         57 Oripää Granite         4.2 <d<4.3; red<="" td="">       874.9       296.01         4.2<d<4.3; red<="" td="">       772.6       232.42         4.0<d<4.2; red<="" td="">       1217.1       354.97         4.0<d<4.2; pale<="" td="">       1104.1       281.97         3.6<d<4.0; pale<="" td="">       1490.9       292.16         3.6<d<4.0; red<="" td="">       1519.2       325.59         monazite       1633.8       4825.9</d<4.0;></d<4.0;></d<4.2;></d<4.2;></d<4.3;></d<4.3;>	le 1 (continued)         57 Oripää Granite         4.2 <d<4.3; red<="" td="">       874.9       296.01       4064         4.2<d<4.3; pale<="" td="">       772.6       232.42       2139         4.0<d<4.2; red<="" td="">       1217.1       354.97       2878         4.0<d<4.2; pale<="" td="">       1104.1       281.97       1910         3.6<d<4.0; pale<="" td="">       1490.9       292.16       913         3.6<d<4.0; red<="" td="">       1519.2       325.59       1285         monazite       1633.8       4825.9       7163</d<4.0;></d<4.0;></d<4.2;></d<4.2;></d<4.3;></d<4.3;>	le 1 (continued)         57 Oripää Granite         4.2 <d<4.3; red<="" td="">       874.9       296.01       4064       .02374         4.2<d<4.3; red<="" td="">       772.6       232.42       2139       .04434         4.0<d<4.2; red<="" td="">       1217.1       354.97       2878       .03416         4.0<d<4.2; pale<="" td="">       1104.1       281.97       1910       .05096         3.6<d<4.0; pale<="" td="">       1490.9       292.16       913       .10872         3.6<d<4.0; red<="" td="">       1519.2       325.59       1285       .07701         monazite       1633.8       4825.9       7163       .01277</d<4.0;></d<4.0;></d<4.2;></d<4.2;></d<4.3;></d<4.3;>	le 1 (continued)         57 Oripää Granite         4.2 <d<4.3; red<="" td="">       874.9       296.01       4064       .02374       11.589         4.2<d<4.3; red<="" td="">       772.6       232.42       2139       .04434       11.883         4.0<d<4.2; red<="" td="">       1217.1       354.97       2878       .03416       11.682         4.0<d<4.2; red<="" td="">       1217.1       281.97       1910       .05096       11.870         3.6<d<4.0; pale<="" td="">       1490.9       292.16       913       .10872       12.337         3.6<d<4.0; red<="" td="">       1519.2       325.59       1285       .07701       11.964         monazite       1633.8       4825.9       7163       .01277       11.140</d<4.0;></d<4.0;></d<4.2;></d<4.2;></d<4.3;></d<4.3;>	le 1 (continued)         57 Oripää Granite         4.2 <d<4.3; red<="" td="">       874.9       296.01       4064       .02374       11.589       11.735         4.2<d<4.3; red<="" td="">       772.6       232.42       2139       .04434       11.883       6.681         4.0<d<4.2; red<="" td="">       1217.1       354.97       2878       .03416       11.682       5.792         4.0<d<4.2; pale<="" td="">       1104.1       281.97       1910       .05096       11.870       6.347         3.6<d<4.0; pale<="" td="">       1490.9       292.16       913       .10872       12.337       8.167         3.6<d<4.0; red<="" td="">       1519.2       325.59       1285       .07701       11.964       6.779         monazite       1633.8       4825.9       7163       .01277       11.140       940.8</d<4.0;></d<4.0;></d<4.2;></d<4.2;></d<4.3;></d<4.3;>	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		

<sup>(1)</sup> d = density (g cm<sup>-3</sup>),  $\emptyset$  = grain size ( $\mu$ m), abr = grains abraded. All data corrected for blank (0.88 ng Pb, 0.37 ng U).

same area has been interpreted as the age of regional metamorphism (Hopgood et al. 1983).

 $D_1$  and  $D_2$  deformations and syn- $D_2$  emplacement of the oldest tonalites and granodiorites in the Loimaa area probably took place during 1890–1880 Ma. Since the magnetite-bearing granite con-

tains tonalitic xenoliths it is younger than the tonalites and granodiorites, but the relationship between emplacement of the granite and  $D_2$  is unknown. The Pöytyä Granodiorite was emplaced at ca. 1870 Ma during  $D_3$  deformation. Because the structural setting of the E-W trending coarse-por-





Fig. 6. Concordia diagrams of U-Pb isotopic data from granitoids of the Loimaa area. a) Pöytyä Granodiorite, porphyritic phase. x = 6740.50, y = 2424.04. b) Pöytyä Granodiorite, main phase. x = 6742.00, y = 2431.46. c) Oripää Granite. x = 6752.20, y = 2425.80.

phyritic granite in the northern part of the area is apparently controlled by  $D_3$ , the granite may be coeval with the Pöytyä Granodiorite.

Ductile  $F_4$  folding has influenced the structural pattern in the Loimaa area. In the north, E-W striking F<sub>3</sub> axial surfaces are in places deformed by large-scale F<sub>4</sub> folding. The strike of S<sub>3</sub> planes shift into NE towards the southwestern part of the study area. There is few data on the orientation of foliation within the weakly deformed granites in the two domal F<sub>3</sub>-F<sub>4</sub> fold interference structures, therefore the relationship between emplacement and folding episodes is unclear. The structure occupied by the Oripää Granite is also interpreted as an F<sub>3</sub>-F<sub>4</sub> interference structure. The structures within the granite suggest dominantly in situ melt segregation. The medium-grained granite may represent a melt fraction that segregated from the host rock. The lack of deformation in the granite and the occurrence of the granitic dikes at F<sub>4</sub> axial surfaces suggest emplacement at a late stage of D<sub>4</sub>. Unfortunately, zircons from the Oripää Granite yield minor information of magmatism and deformation, but the monazite dating indicates that the Oripää Granite was still hot ca. 1795 Ma ago.

#### DISCUSSION

Domal structures have been described in several areas of southwestern Finland. Van Staal and Williams (1983) interpreted such a structure in the Kemiö area (Fig. 1) as an F<sub>3</sub>-F<sub>4</sub> interference structure in which F<sub>3</sub> axial traces generally trend NNE or NE (see also Verhoef & Dietvorst 1980) whereas the F<sub>4</sub> axial plane is E-W trending. The domal structure in the Mustio area having a granitic core was interpreted by Bleeker and Westra (1987) as an F<sub>2</sub>-F<sub>3</sub> interference structure (F<sub>2</sub> and F<sub>3</sub> axial surfaces striking E-W and NNE, respectively) whereas Veenhof and Stel (1991) considered the structure as the result of a single-phase progressive event  $(D_1)$ . Stel et al. (1989) interpreted the two domal structures in the Somero area (both with granitic cores) as the result of superposition of  $F_3$  folding (NW to WNW striking axial surfaces) on earlier structures. These examples show that dome-and-basin structures similar to those in the Loimaa area are common in southwestern Finland, but interpretations of the folding episodes related to their formation and of the orientation of fold axial surfaces vary considerably.

Approximately N-S striking late Svecofennian foliation is visible all over southern Finland, especially in the eastern part (Gaál 1982 and references therein). Hopgood (1984) revealed the structural sequence in the migmatites of the Skåldö area (Fig. 1), including N-S striking planar structures. Schreurs and Westra (1986) described mesoscopic and macroscopic folding (their  $F_3$ ) with subvertical N-S striking axial surfaces in the Suomusjärvi– Mustio area (Fig. 1) and concluded that granulite grade metamorphism preceded  $F_3$  folding.

Pietikäinen (1994) studied the Pori Shear Zone northwest of the Loimaa area and concluded that dilatational brecciation of tonalites to form veined gneisses took place during regional D<sub>3</sub>. He further inferred that mylonitization at the northeastern margin of the zone (within the steeply NE dipping Main Fault Zone; Fig. 7) occurred during late D<sub>3</sub> and that the zone is extensional with normal offsets down to the north and a minor sinistral horizontal component. The S<sub>1</sub> compositional banding in the tonalites of the Pori area is the predominant foliation and therefore similar to the penetrative S<sub>2</sub> foliation in the tonalites and granodiorites of the Loimaa area. An L<sub>2</sub> lineation is the most prominent structural element in the Pori area, while L<sub>3</sub> is the predominant lineation in the Loimaa area. Hence it seems that D<sub>1</sub> structures in the Pori area may be correlated with D<sub>2</sub> structures in the Loimaa area; possibly S<sub>1</sub>, visible as inclusion trails in porphyroblasts, did not develop in the Pori area. Accordingly, D<sub>3</sub> structures in the Pori area are correlated with D4 structures in the Loimaa area (Fig. 7). However, this correlation is ambiguous because in the Pori area late D<sub>3</sub> deformation was shearing whereas in the Loimaa area F<sub>4</sub> folding was probably the result of shortening.

Kilpeläinen (1998) concluded that the  $D_2$  and  $D_3$  (possibly also  $D_1$ ) structures in the Tampere–Vammala area, north of the Loimaa area, devel-

oped due to N-S compression. The  $D_3$  structures in the Loimaa area are compatible with N-S compression but the original attitude of the  $D_2$  structures remains unrevealed because they have largely been transposed by younger deformation. Kilpeläinen (1998, Fig. 49) interpreted also the NNW-SSE and N-S trending structures as  $D_3$ structures; these are interpreted as  $D_4$  structures in the present study (Fig. 7).

The structural pattern in the Turku-Uusikaupunki area, southwest and west of the Loimaa area, is characterized by folds with vergence towards the northwest (Selonen & Ehlers 1998, Väisänen & Hölttä 1999). The granites within the the LSGM zone occur as sheets in generally gently dipping planar structures (Ehlers et al. 1993, Selonen et al. 1996). Ehlers et al. (1993) presented a model for the granites of the LSGM zone including emplacement 1840-1830 Ma ago along pre-existing subhorizontal structures and simultaneous westward translation; i.e. emplacement within a transpressional zone with vergence to the NW. The granites were subsequently refolded in open folds with E-W trending fold axes. Lindroos et al. (1996) dated a pegmatite in the Kemiö area and concluded that transpressional deformation lasted until 1803 Ma. As the overall structural pattern in the LSGM zone differs from that in the Loimaa area, where the E-W to NE-SW trending structures were developing already 1870 Ma ago, it is difficult to apply this model to the Loimaa area.

Metamorphism culminated between 1850 Ma and 1810 Ma in the LSGM zone (Korsman et al. 1984). U-Pb ages between 1860 Ma and 1820 Ma have been obtained from pyroxene-bearing granitoids around Turku, southwest of the Loimaa area (Suominen 1991, van Duin 1992; see inset in Fig. 1). The granitoids are located within a granulite facies area and contain zoned zircons with secondary overgrowths. A study of zircon from a pyroxene granitoid suggests that the 1862 Ma age is a mixed age of two populations, one giving primary crystallization ca. 1880 Ma ago and the other giving the high-grade metamorphism at ca. 1843 Ma (Suominen 1991, Appendix 5). Moreover, a tonalite outside the granulite facies area, 30 km SW of the Pöytyä Granodiorite, yield-



Fig. 7. A model to correlate the structures in the Loimaa, Pori (P), and Vammala (V) areas. Interpretation is based on low-altitude aeromagnetic map and field observations by the author in the southern part, Pietikäinen (1994) in the Pori area, and Kilpeläinen (1998) in the Vammala area. The relative sense of movement in the Main Fault Zone (MFZ) is shown by arrows and block movement directions (U = up, D =down). The study area is shown by a dotted line.

ed a 1869  $\pm$  5 Ma age (van Duin 1992). These data strongly suggest that the 1860–1820 Ma ages of the pyroxene granitoids are the result of highgrade metamorphism, as concluded by Väisänen et al. (1994), and that the true age of these plutons is ca. 1870 Ma. The high-grade metamorphism in the LSGM zone presumably caused a thermal event with temperature exceeding the closure temperature of the U-Pb system for titanite in the adjacent Loimaa area. Therefore the 1780 Ma age of titanite in the Pöytyä Granodiorite records the decrease in the temperature of regional metamorphism below ca. 650°C. This late metamorphic peak in the LSGM zone is probably also the reason for the ductile style of D<sub>4</sub> deformation.

### SUMMARY

The oldest tonalites and granodiorites in the Loimaa area were emplaced 1890–1880 Ma ago, before the end of regional  $D_2$  deformation. The Pöytyä Granodiorite intruded 1870 Ma ago during  $D_3$  deformation. The Oripää Granite was emplaced in an F<sub>3</sub>-F<sub>4</sub> fold interference structure during  $D_4$ . Peak metamorphism was attained during  $D_3$  deformation. E-W compression at some interval between 1850 Ma and 1800 Ma caused ductile  $D_4$  deformation, coeval with medium/high grade metamorphism in the adjacent LSGM zone.

The location of the Loimaa area at the intersection of different structural trends in southwestern Finland restricts structural and metamorphic correlation with adjacent areas. The  $D_2$  structures in the Loimaa area may be correlated with  $D_1$  structures in the Pori area and the  $D_3$  structures in the Loimaa area may be correlated with  $D_3$  in the Tampere–Vammala area whereas correlation to the south (the LSGM zone) and west is problematic. Evidently the overall structural correlation in southwestern Finland needs further work.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: I am grateful to Olavi Kouvo, Matti Vaasjoki and the staff of the Unit for Isotope Geology, Geological Survey of Finland, for providing the U-Pb isotopic data, and to Maija Kurimo for processing the aeromagnetic map. Håkan Sjöström and Pekka Tuisku made valuable referee comments.

#### REFERENCES

- Bleeker, W. & Westra, L. 1987. The evolution of the Mustio gneiss dome, Svecofennides of SW Finland. Precambrian Research 36, 227–240.
- Brun, J.P. & Pons, J. 1981. Strain patterns of pluton emplacement in a crust undergoing non-coaxial deformation, Sierra Morena, Southern Spain. Journal of Structural Geology 3, 219–229.
- Ehlers, C., Lindroos, A. & Selonen, O. 1993. The late Svecofennian granite-migmatite zone of southern Finland – a belt of transpressive deformation and granite emplacement. Precambrian Research 64, 295–309.
- Gaál, G. 1982. Proterozoic tectonic evolution and late Svecofennian plate deformation of the Central Baltic Shield.

Geologische Rundschau 71, 158-170.

- Gapais, D. 1989. Shear structures within deformed granites: Mechanical and thermal indicators. Geology 17, 1144–1147.
- Geologian tutkimuskeskus, Turun vesi- ja ympäristöpiiri, Turun Seudun Vesi Oy & Varsinais-Suomen Seutukaavaliitto 1993. Virttaankankaan – Oripäänkankaan – Sulajoen harjujakson geofysikaaliset ja geologiset tutkimukset 1992. (report, in Finnish) Gillot, Turku. 20 p.
- Hakkarainen, G. 1994. Geology and geochemistry of the Hämeenlinna-Somero Volcanic Belt, southwestern Finland: a Paleoproterozoic island arc. In: Nironen, M. & Kähkönen, Y. (eds.) Geochemistry of Proterozoic supracrustal rocks in Finland. Geological Survey of Finland, Special Paper 19, 85–100.
- Hopgood, A.M. 1984. Structural evolution of Svecokarelian migmatites, southern Finland: a study of Proterozoic crustal development. Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Earth Sciences 74, 229–264.
- Hopgood, A.M., Bowes, D.R., Kouvo, O. & Halliday, A.N. 1983. U-Pb and Rb-Sr isotopic study of polyphase deformed migmatites in the Svecokarelides, southern Finland. In: Atherton, M.P. & Gribble, C.D. (eds.) Migmatites, Melting and Metamorphism. Nantwich: Shiva, 80–92.
- Huhma, A. 1957. Marttila. Geological map of Finland 1:100 000, Pre-Quaternary rocks, Sheet 2022. Geological Survey of Finland.
- Huhma. A. 1959. Geological map of Finland 1:100 000, Sheet 2022 Marttila. Explanation to the map of rocks. Geological Survey of Finland. 38 p.
- Jaffey, A.H., Flynn, K.F., Glendenin, L.E., Bentley, W.C. & Essling, A.M. 1971. Precision measurement of halflives and specific activities of <sup>235</sup>U and <sup>238</sup>U. Physical Reviews C4, 1889–1906.
- Kilpeläinen, T. 1998. Evolution and 3D modelling of structural and metamorphic patterns of the Palaeoproterozoic crust in the Tampere–Vammala area, southern Finland. Geological Survey of Finland, Bulletin 397. 124 p.
- Koistinen, T., Klein, V., Koppelmaa, H., Korsman, K., Lahtinen, R., Nironen, M., Puura, V., Saltykova, T., Tikhomirov, S. & Yanovskiy, A. 1996. Paleoproterozoic Svecofennian orogenic belt in the surroundings of the Gulf of Finland. In: Koistinen, T. (ed.) Explanation to the map of Precambrian basement of the Gulf of Finland and surrounding area 1:1 mill. Geological Survey of Finland, Special Paper 21, 21–57.
- Korsman, K., Hölttä, P., Hautala, T. & Wasenius, P. 1984. Metamorphism as an indicator of evolution and structure of the crust in Eastern Finland. Geological Survey of Finland, Bulletin 328. 40 p.
- Lindroos, A., Romer, R.L., Ehlers, C. & Alviola, R. 1996. Late-orogenic Svecofennian deformation in SW Finland constrained by pegmatite emplacement ages. Terra Nova 8, 567–574.
- Mezger, K., Rawnsley, C.M., Bohlen, S.R. & Hanson, G.N. 1991. U-Pb garnet, sphene, monazite, and rutile ages: implications for the duration of high-grade metamor-

phism and cooling histories, Adirondack Mts., New York. Journal of Geology 99, 415–428.

- Nironen, M. 1995. Block boundary at the southeastern margin of the Paleoproterozoic Central Finland Granitoid Complex. Geological Survey of Finland, Bulletin 382, 91–115.
- Parrish, R.R. 1990. U-Pb dating of monazite and its application to geological problems. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences 27, 1431–1450.
- Passchier, C.W. & Trouw, R.A.J. 1996. Microtectonics. Berlin: Springer. 289 p.
- Pidgeon, R.T., Bosch, D. & Bruguier, O. 1996. Inherited zircon and titanite U-Pb systems in an Archaean syenite from southwestern Australia: implications for U-Pb stability of titanite. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 141, 187–198.
- Pietikäinen, K.J. 1994. The geology of the Paleoproterozoic Pori Shear Zone, southwestern Finland, with special reference to the evolution of veined gneisses from tonalitic protoliths. Unpublished Ph. D. thesis, Michigan Technological University, Department of geological engineering, geology and geophysics. 129 p.
- Salli, I. 1953a. Loimaa. Geological map of Finland 1:100 000, Pre-Quaternary rocks, Sheet 2111. Geological Survey of Finland.
- Salli, I. 1953b. Geological map of Finland 1:100 000, Sheet 2111 Loimaa. Explanation to the map of rocks. Geological Survey of Finland. 39 p.
- Schreurs, J. & Westra, L. 1986. The thermotectonic evolution of a Proterozoic, low pressure, granulite dome, West Uusimaa, SW Finland. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology 93, 236–250.
- Scott, D.J. & St-Onge, M.R. 1995. Constraints on Pb closure temperature in titanite based on rocks from the Ungava orogen, Canada: Implications for U-Pb geochronology and P-T-t path determinations. Geology 23, 1123– 1126.
- Selonen, O. & Ehlers, C. 1998. Structural observations on the Uusikaupunki trondhjemite sheet, SW Finland. GFF (formerly Geologiska Föreningens i Stockholm Förhandlingar) 120, 379–382.

- Selonen, O., Ehlers, C. & Lindroos, A. 1996. Structural features and emplacement of the late Svecofennian Perniö granite sheet in southern Finland. Bulletin of the Geological Society of Finland 68, 5–17.
- Stel, H., Veenhof, R., Huizenga, J.M., Timmerman, M. & Hartsink, J.M.H. 1989. Infra-supra structure relations of a microcline-granite dome in the Somero area, Svecofennides, SW Finland. Bulletin of the Geological Society of Finland 61, 131–141.
- Suominen, V. 1991. The chronostratigraphy of southwestern Finland, with special reference to Postjotnian and Subjotnian diabases. Geological Survey of Finland, Bulletin 356. 100 p.
- Vaasjoki, M. 1994. Valijärven hapan vulkaniitti: minimi Hämeen liuskejakson iäksi. Summary: Radiometric age of a meta-andesite at Valijärvi, Häme schist zone, southern Finland. Geologi 46, 91–92.
- Väisänen, M & Hölttä, P. 1999. Structural and metamorphic evolution of the Turku migmatite complex, southwestern Finland. Bulletin of the Geological Society of Finland 71, Part 1, 177–218. (this volume)
- Väisänen, M., Hölttä, P., Rastas, J., Korja, A. & Heikkinen, P. 1994. Deformation, metamorphism and the deep structure of the crust in the Turku area, southwestern Finland. Geological Survey of Finland, Guide 37, 35–41.
- van Duin, J.A. 1992. The Turku granulite area, SW Finland: a fluid-absent Svecofennian granulite occurrence.
  Ph. D. thesis, Free University, Amsterdam. 243 p.
- van Staal, C.R. & Williams, P.F. 1983. Evolution of a Svecofennian mantled gneiss dome in SW Finland, with evidence for thrusting. Precambrian Research 21, 101– 128.
- Veenhof, R.P. & Stel, H. 1991. A cleavage triple point and its mesoscopic structures: the Mustio Sink (Svecofennides of SW Finland). Precambrian Research 50, 269– 282.
- Verhoef, P.N.W. & Dietvorst, E.J.L. 1980. Structural analysis of differentiated schists and gneisses in the Taalintehdas area, Kemiö Island, southwest Finland. Bulletin of the Geological Society of Finland 52, 147–164.