Reconstructing the plumbing system of Krakatau volcano

 $\begin{array}{l} {\rm Troll, \ V.R.^{1,2}, \ Deegan, \ F.M.^1, \ Dahren, \ B.^1, \ Jolis, \ E.M.^1, \ Blythe, \ L.S.^1, \\ {\rm Harris, \ C.^3, \ Berg, \ S.E.^1, \ Hilton, \ D.R.^4, \ Freda, \ C.^2 \end{array}$

 1 CEMPEG, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden. Email: Valentin. Troll@geo.uu.se

 2 Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e \dot{Vu} lcanologia (INGV), Rome, Italy, Rome, Italy

³ University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa.

⁴ Scripps Institution of Oceanography, San Diego, CA, United States.

Crustal contamination of ascending arc magmas is generally thought to be significant at lower- to mid-crustal magma storage levels where magmas inherit their chemical and isotopic character by blending, assimilation and differentiation [1]. Anak Krakatau, like many other volcanoes, erupts shallow-level crustal xenoliths [2], indicating a potential role for upper crustal modification and hence late-stage changes to magma rheology and thus eruptive behaviour. Distinguishing deep vs. shallow crustal assimilation processes at Krakatau, and elsewhere, is therefore crucial to understand and assess pre-eruptive magmatic conditions and their associated hazard potential. Here we report on a multi-disciplinary approach to unravel the crustal plumbing system of the persistently-active and dominantly explosive Anak Krakatau volcano [2, 3]. We employ rock-, mineral- and gas-isotope geochemistry and link these results with seismic tomography [4]. We show that pyroxene crystals formed at mid- and lower-crustal levels (9-11 km) and carry almost mantle-like isotope signatures (O, Sr, Nd, He), while feldspar crystals formed dominantly at shallow levels (< 5km) and display unequivocal isotopic evidence for late stage contamination (O, Sr, Nd). Coupled with tomographic evidence, the petrological and geochemical data place a significant element of magma-crust interaction (and hence magma storage) into the uppermost, sediment-rich crust beneath the volcano. Magma – sediment interaction in the uppermost crust therefore offers a likely explanation for the compositional variations in recent Krakatau magmas and most probably provides extra impetus to increased explosivity at Anak Krakatau.

References:

Annen, et al., 2006. J. Petrol. 47, 505-539. [2] Gardner, et al., 2013. J. Petrol. 54, 149-182.
Dahren, et al., 2012. Contrib. Mineral. Petrol. 163, 631-651. [4] Jaxybulatov, et al., 2011. J. Volcanol. Geoth. Res. 206, 96-105.