OCCURRENCE AND MINERALOGY OF THE MARGARITE- AND 
MUSCOVITE-BEARING PSEUDOMORPHS AFTER TOPAZ IN 
THE JUURAKKO PEGMATITE, ORIVESI, SOUTHERN FINLAND

SEppo I. Lahti

LAHTI, SEppo I., 1988: Occurrence and mineralogy of the margarite- and 
muscovite-bearing pseudomorphs after topaz in the Juurakko pegmatite, Orivesi, 

Margarite- and muscovite-bearing pseudomorphs after topaz are described from 
the Juurakko pegmatite dyke, Orivesi, southern Finland. A supercritical vapour 
phase rich in calcium and alkalies caused alteration of topaz and some other sili-
cates during final phase of crystallization of the dyke.

The original columnar form of topaz crystals is characteristic in the pseudo-
morphs, although roundish or irregular mica aggregates are also common. The pseu-
domorphs are composed of fine-scaled, light-brown muscovite, but they may have 
a topaz-margarite or margarite core. Coarse-scaled pink, lilac or yellow muscovite 
forms a rim around the pseudomorphs.

The muscovites are nearly ideal dioctahedral. The amount of paragonite and 
phengite substitution is minute. The pink muscovite is slightly enriched in Mn, but 
the mica is poor in Fe. Margarite is fibrous or massive, fine-scaled and white in 
colour. The fibre axis is either a crystallographic a or b axis. Microprobe analyses 
show that the composition of margarite varies largely from one crystal to the other. 
The mineral has appreciable paragonite and ephesite as solid solution.

Fine-scaled muscovite is also a main mineral in the pseudomorphs after schorl 
and garnet. The pseudomorphs after topaz and tourmaline may be similar. The pris-
matic form and the hexagonal cross-section is, however, often well-preserved in the 
pseudomorphs after tourmaline and the muscovite is richer in Fe, Mg, Mn, and 
Ti. The muscovite in the pseudomorphs after garnet has also appreciable phengite 
component. Being composed of bertrandite or fine-grained bertrandite, chloride and 
muscovite mass, the pseudomorphs after beryl differ from the pseudomorphs after 
topaz and tourmaline in mineralogy.
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Introduction

Pegmatite studies carried out by the author within the Eräjärvi pegmatite area in Orivesi, 
southern Finland, revealed that pseudomorphs after various Al-bearing silicates, and Li and Fe-
Mn phosphates are common in larger lithium and beryl-columbite pegmatites. Several examples 
have been reported by Lahti (1981), and by Lahti and Saikkonen (1985). The present study 
describes the mica pseudomorphs of the Juurakko pegmatite dyke, which is one of the largest 
complex pegmatite bodies of the area. A super-
critical vapour phase rich in potassium, calcium,
sodium and lithium was released from the pegmatite magma and caused alteration of topaz, tourmaline, beryl and garnet during the final phase of crystallization of the dyke.

The columnar form with some typical crystal faces is well preserved in the pseudomorphs after topaz, although irregular or roundish aggregates are also common. The pseudomorphs are composed of fine-scaled muscovite and they may have a topaz-margarite or margarite-muscovite core. In some specimens margarite is exceptionally fibrous in appearance.

Margarite, which usually occurs in the low to medium-grade metamorphic Ca- and Al-rich schists and replaces sillimanite, andalusite, kyanite or corundum (Guidotti and Cheney 1976; Baltatzis and Katagas 1981; Frey et al. 1982; Guidotti 1984), is here an alteration product of topaz. To the knowledge of the author, no similar occurrence of margarite has ever been described from granitic pegmatites (Hawthorne and Černý 1982, Černý and Burt 1984); only intermediate forms between bityite, \( \text{Ca}_2\text{Li}_2\text{Al}_4(\text{Si}_4\text{Al}_2\text{Be}_2)\text{O}_{20}(\text{OH})_4 \), and margarite, \( \text{Ca}_2\text{Al}_4(\text{Si}_4\text{Al}_4)\text{O}_{20}(\text{OH})_4 \), have been found in pegmatites. These micas, however, usually occur as an alteration product in pseudomorphs after beryl (Černý 1968; Arnaudov et al. 1982; Kutukova 1959). Fine-scaled bityite has also been described in pseudomorphs after beryl in some lithium pegmatite dykes of the Eräjärvi area (Lahti and Saikkonen 1985). No bityite or related Be-bearing brittle micas have been encountered in the Juurakko pegmatite, and the mineralogy of the pseudomorphs after beryl is quite different from that of the pseudomorphs after topaz.

The pseudomorphs after tourmaline (schorl) contain only muscovite as an alteration product and resemble the pseudomorphs after topaz. However, the original hexagonal cross-section of the tourmaline crystals may be well preserved in the pseudomorphs, and muscovite is richer in Fe, Mn, Mg and Ti than in the pseudomorphs after topaz.

For this study numerous columnar mica pseudomorphs (usually 0.5—5.0 cm in diameter) or larger pieces of mica-topaz aggregates were collected from the locality. The aim of this article is to characterize the occurrence and mineralogy of these pseudomorphs. Special attention is paid to the chemistry of margarite and other micas in the pseudomorphs after topaz, because the author has not found any descriptions of similar margarite-muscovite pseudomorphs in the literature.

**General geology of the pegmatite dyke and the occurrence of mica pseudomorphs**

The mineralogy and geology of the Juurakko dyke (map sheet 2141 09, grid coordinates \( x = 6829800, y = 2528160 \)) have previously been briefly described by Lahti (1981 and 1986). The general geological features of the surrounding Proterozoic schists, gneisses and plutonic rocks are shown on sheets 2141 Kangasala (Matisto 1964, see also the explanation to the map, Matisto 1976) and 2142 Orivesi (Laitakari 1986) of the geological map of Finland.

The Juurakko pegmatite is a large subhorizontal dyke more than 12 m thick, 50—100 m wide and several hundred metres long. Horizontally it is forked and poorly defined. It is surrounded by a small quartz diorite stock and mica schists. The pegmatite was quarried mainly for feldspar and quartz from the beginning of this century until about twenty years ago, when operations ceased. The main quarry is about 60 m in diameter and at least 10 m deep. There are another three smaller quarries in the immediate vicinity, but all four are now filled with water.

The Juurakko pegmatite has three clearly defined zones. Outermost, towards the wall rock, is the border zone. It is about 50 cm thick and consists mainly of albitic plagioclase and quartz with minor microcline, muscovite and schorl. The border zone is followed inwards by the wall zone and the intermediate zone. Several quartz cores, the biggest of them some metres in diameter,
characterize the central parts of the dyke. The abundance of microcline and muscovite and the grain size of the minerals increase progressively from the border zone to the wall and intermediate zones. The K-feldspar crystals are usually less than 10 cm long in the border zone and 10—50 cm long in the wall zone; in the intermediate zone, however, they may be gigantic and several metres long. Graphic granite is typical of the wall zone of the dyke.

Black tourmaline is a characteristic accessory mineral throughout the dyke, and the crystals may be up to 10 cm in diameter. The tourmaline crystals of the albite-quartz-muscovite rock between the huge microcline crystals in the intermediate zone may be surrounded by a rim of massive muscovite. Muscovite replaces tourmaline, and sometimes the original crystals are totally altered.

Sugary albite or cleavelandite-rich replacement bodies and fracture fillings characterize the central parts of the dyke. The last to crystallize, these are the parts favoured by the rare pegmatite minerals. Pale yellow or pink beryl, cassiterite, Mn and Fe columbite, zircon, almandine-spes-sartine and fluorapatite are abundant in the pegmatite. Green tourmaline is very rare and lepidolite has not been identified for sure.

Mica aggregates with a topaz core have been encountered in only one of the quarries, but totally altered muscovite and muscovite-margarite pseudomorphs after topaz and other silicates occur throughout the pegmatite. Fine-scaled mica pseudomorphs are typical of the fracture fillings with sugary or platy albite, quartz and muscovite as major minerals. Fine-grained massive chlorite-bertrandite-muscovite pseudomorphs after beryl occur in much the same way. Two specimens were encountered in which a columnar mica pseudomorph after topaz is inside a big, hexagonal bertrandite-chlorite pseudomorph after beryl, indicating that topaz crystallized before beryl and was altered later or at the same time as beryl.

Thin quartz veins, quartz-schorl and löllingite-sphalerite-pyrite veins, which sharply cut all parts of the pegmatite crystallized in the final stages. Microcline, albite, quartz and bertrandite occur as euhedral crystals in cavities and represent the final crystallization phases of the hydrothermal solutions.

**Study methods**

The mica pseudomorphs were first cut into two equal pieces: one for polished thin sections, the other for mineral identification and chemical analyses. The micas were identified from the X-ray powder diffraction patterns recorded with a Philips diffractometer or a Debye-Scherrer camera (diam. 57.3 mm). The fibrous margarite was studied in detail with the X-ray single crystal and diffractometer methods. A Buerger precession camera was used to determine the relations of the fibre axis and the crystallographic axes of the mineral. The unit cell dimensions of the margarite were computed from the X-ray powder diffractogram recorded using Ni-filtered Cu radiation ($\lambda_{\text{CuK}}$ = 1.5418 Å), a scanning speed of 1/4 2 theta°/min., and NaCl as an internal standard.

Several polished thin sections of the pseudomorphs were studied under the microscope and subsequently with microprobe methods. The microprobe analyses were done at the University of Oulu and at the Geological Survey of Finland with a JEOL electron microprobe. The analysing conditions for the quantitative analyses were 15 kV accelerating voltage, approximately 30 nA probe current and a beam diameter of about 1 μm. An energy-dispersive spectrometer system (EDS) was used to locate the different micas of the pseudomorphs. Usually some mica crystals from three different part between the core and rim of the pseudomorph or from different zones were analysed. Natural minerals were used as standards.

A powdered sample of the margarite specimen studied in detail was analysed separately with an
inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometer (ICP-AES). The trace elements of the micas were determined with ICP-AES, but some elements were assayed with optical emission spectrography (OES), atomic absorption spectrophotometry (AAS), or X-ray fluorescence (XRF). Some specimens were analysed for water on a LECO RMC moisture determinator, and for fluorine using a fluoride ion-selective electrode. The OES, AAS, XRF, and ICP-AES, and fluorine and water determinations were all done at the Geological Survey of Finland.

**Mica aggregates after topaz**

The irregular, roundish or oval mica aggregates are considered pseudomorphs after topaz crystal aggregates or anhedral topaz crystals. The pseudomorphs are composed of very fine-scaled, light-brown muscovite, but they may have a topaz-margarite or margarite-muscovite core. The aggregates are rimmed by coarse-scaled, yellow, pink or lilac lepidolite-like muscovite. Graphite commonly occurs as disseminated grains or stripes in the fine-scaled muscovite or as accumulations around the pseudomorphs.

**Mineralogy of a homogeneous mica aggregate**

The homogeneous mica aggregate studied in detail is $20 \times 20 \times 10$ cm in size and is composed of very fine-scaled, light-brown, massive muscovite (scales 0.01—0.20 mm in diameter). The aggregate is rimmed by coarse-scaled, pink or lilac muscovite (scales 0.5—3.0 cm in diameter).

The microprobe studies show that the composition of the mica differs little within the pseudomorph. In Table 1, analyses 1 and 2 refer to the fine-scaled muscovite, and analyses 3 and 4 to the pink muscovite. Both micas are nearly ideal dioctahedral muscovite in formula. The contents of the minor elements (Fe, Mn and Na) are low. Pink muscovite has more Mn (up to 0.9 wt% MnO) than the fine-scaled muscovite and is poorer in Fe (Mn/Fe = 10—16). The Na/(Na + K) ratio is 0.07—0.08, being somewhat lower in the fine-scaled muscovite. The trace elements of the micas are shown in Table 4 (no. 4: fine-scaled muscovite, no. 6: pink muscovite).

**Mineralogy of a zoned mica-topaz aggregate**

The minerals of the large zoned mica-topaz aggregate shown in Figure 1 were studied in detail. The aggregate was originally huge, at least 30 cm

---

**Fig. 1.** A typical topaz-mica aggregate from the Juurakko pegmatite. The topaz crystal (t) inside the aggregate is surrounded by a zone of fine-scaled or fibrous, white margarite (f) and very fine-scaled, light-brown muscovite (m). The aggregate is rimmed by coarse-scaled pink or lilac Mn-bearing muscovite (p).
Table 1. Chemical analyses of a homogeneous mica aggregate (sample no. 1, analyses 1—4) and of a zoned mica-topaz aggregate (sample no. 2, analyses 5—18). Microprobe determinations by Bo Johanson (nos. 1—4 and 17—18), by Seppo Sivonen (nos. 4—5 and 7—16) and ICP analysis by Eeva Kallio (no. 6). Fluorine, water and lithium analysed separately by Risto Saikkonen. — not determined.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>47.70</td>
<td>48.20</td>
<td>49.20</td>
<td>48.20</td>
<td>32.93</td>
<td>32.13</td>
<td>32.67</td>
<td>31.20</td>
<td>31.55</td>
<td>45.67</td>
<td>45.03</td>
<td>46.66</td>
<td>45.09</td>
<td>45.03</td>
<td>46.66</td>
<td>45.79</td>
<td>46.33</td>
<td>47.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>38.10</td>
<td>37.40</td>
<td>38.10</td>
<td>38.20</td>
<td>53.01</td>
<td>50.37</td>
<td>47.12</td>
<td>50.72</td>
<td>49.97</td>
<td>37.50</td>
<td>36.69</td>
<td>38.22</td>
<td>37.04</td>
<td>36.69</td>
<td>38.01</td>
<td>36.99</td>
<td>37.52</td>
<td>38.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeOtot</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.30—0.57</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01—0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>9.85</td>
<td>8.18</td>
<td>8.98</td>
<td>7.97</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00—0.05</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.33—0.45</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>10.70</td>
<td>10.60</td>
<td>9.26</td>
<td>10.50</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>9.81</td>
<td>9.43—10.25</td>
<td>10.25</td>
<td>9.89</td>
<td>9.53</td>
<td>7.93</td>
<td>9.95</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>9.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li₂O</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O⁺</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>18.17</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>97.47</td>
<td>97.19</td>
<td>98.02</td>
<td>97.83</td>
<td>104.23</td>
<td>100.70</td>
<td>91.43</td>
<td>93.64</td>
<td>92.86</td>
<td>98.61</td>
<td>93.08</td>
<td>92.75</td>
<td>94.98</td>
<td>93.80</td>
<td>94.68</td>
<td>101.69</td>
<td>97.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>96.58</td>
<td>100.40</td>
<td>98.59</td>
<td>98.59</td>
<td>101.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1, 2 = Fine-scaled muscovite, sample 1   6—9 = Fibrous margarite, sample 2   12—15 = Fine-scaled muscovite, sample 2
3, 4 = Pink muscovite, sample 1   10 = Fine-scaled muscovite, sample 2, mean of 8 analyses   16 = Fibrous muscovite, sample 2
5 = Topaz, sample 2   11 = Fine-scaled muscovite, sample 2, ranges of 8 analyses   17—18 = Pink muscovite, sample 2
in diameter. The core of the aggregate consists of a large topaz crystal surrounded and replaced by margarite. The muscovite zone around the topaz-margarite core is composed of an inner, massive, fine-scaled subzone and an outer coarser subzone consisting of pink lepidolite-like muscovite.

The topaz crystal in the core was once more than 15 cm long and 7 cm thick. Grey-green in colour, the mineral is columnar in shape and roundish in cross-section. The {001} cleavage is distinct. The chemical analysis of the mineral is given in Table 1 (no. 5). The chemical formula of the topaz is nearly ideal. The OES analysis indicates that the mineral is enriched in Ge (330 ppm), but the content of the other trace elements is low (Table 4, no. 9).

Margarite occurs as a discontinuous, 1- to 5-cm thick zone around the topaz core. The margarite is fibrous (Fig. 2 and 3), but near the topaz core several thin subzones composed of brownish or greyish, very fine-grained massive margarite (scales <0.01 mm long) can be seen.

The margarite fibres have grown perpendicular to the topaz core (Fig. 2). X-ray studies carried out with the precession method showed that generally the a-axis, and less frequently the b-axis, of the mica lies parallel to the fibre axis. The mica crystals in the fibres are very thin (Fig. 3) and rotate separately. As a result, detailed measurements of the unit cell dimensions from the single crystal photographs failed. The X-ray powder diffractogram of the mineral closely resembles the one given in JCPDS-card 18-276. The unit cell dimensions computed from the indexed x-ray powder diffraction pattern are: 
\[ a = 5.126 \, \text{Å}, \quad b = 8.885 \, \text{Å}, \quad c = 19.226 \, \text{Å} \] and \[ \beta = 95.53^\circ \].

Representative microprobe analyses and an ICP-AES analysis of a powdered margarite sample are given in Table 1 (ICP-AES analysis no. 6 and representative microprobe analyses no.

Fig. 2. A detail of the topaz-mica aggregate in Fig. 1. The topaz crystal (t) is surrounded by the zones of fine-scaled margarite (s), fibrous margarite (f), fine-scaled margarite-muscovite (x) and fine-scaled muscovite (m). The fine-scaled margarite zone against topaz has several subzones differing in colour and grain size. Microphotograph of a thin section, crossed nicols.
Occurrence and mineralogy of the margarite- and muscovite-bearing pseudomorphs.

7—9). The chemical formula of the mineral computed from the ICP-AES analysis and separate
calculations ranges from 1.66 to 1.85/O_{20}(OH,F), and the mica is low in Na (Na/(Na + K) = 0.05—
0.07) and Fe (FeO 0.30—0.57 wt%). The trace
elements are listed in Table 4 (no. 3).

Fibrous muscovite occurs as a small accumulation between the margarite and surrounding
massive muscovite in one part of the specimen. Chemical analysis shows that the mica is nearly
ideal dioctahedral muscovite (Table 1, no. 16) and resembles the fine-scaled muscovite in com-
position.

Pink flaky muscovite occurs as a discontinuous zone around the aggregate. The mica flakes
are 5—30 mm in diameter. The pink or lilac
colour closely resembles that of lepidolite, and
the minerals are difficult to distinguish without
chemical and x-ray studies. The chemical analyses
(Table 1, no. 17—18) indicate that the musco-
vite is nearly ideally dioctahedral in composition.
It has minor concentrations of Mn (up to 0.3
wt% MnO) and the mica is poor in Fe (Mn/Fe
= 2—4). The chemical formula computed on the
basis of 24 (O,OH,F) from the combined
microprobe analyses and separate water and
fluorine determinations is:

$$(K_{1.64}Na_{0.12})_{}(Al_{4.01}Mn_{0.03}Fe_{0.01})_{}(Al_{1.84}Si_{6.16})_{}(OH)_{3.87} F_{0.13} O_{20.00}$$
can be recognized in some pseudomorphs. The
pyramidal faces are usually deformed.

The pseudomorphs vary widely in size being
1—5 cm in diameter and up to 15 cm long. Some-
times they are closely associated with mica ag-
ggregates. The long prismatic form and the cross-
section of the pseudomorphs also resemble the
form of andalusite crystals. Although andalusite
may occur in pegmatites, the mineral has not
been found in the pegmatite dykes of the area,
and the pseudomorphs are considered those af-
ter long prismatic topaz crystals.

The pseudomorphs may be homogeneous or
zoned like the mica aggregates. The homogene-

The trace elements of the mica are shown in
Table 4 (no. 7).

Columnar mica pseudomorphs after topaz

Several columnar mica pseudomorphs with either poorly- or well-developed crystal form
resembling that of topaz were encountered in the
pegmatite. Some examples are shown in Figure
4. The cross-section of the pseudomorphs is a
square or oblique square. The prism faces are
usually \{110\} faces of the altered topaz crystals,
although combinations of \{110\} and \{120\} faces

water and fluorine determinations (see Table 1,
no. 6), and based on 24 (O,OH,F), is:

$$Ca_{1.39}Na_{0.59}K_{0.09} (Al_{4.07}Fe_{0.01}Li_{0.19}) (Si_{4.23}Al_{3.77}) (OH_{3.77}F_{0.30}) O_{19.91}$$

The chemical formula of the Juurakko marg-
arite differs slightly from that of an ideal dio-
tahedral margarite, and the mica has prominent
Na (2.31 wt% Na,0), Li (0.36 wt% Li,0), and
F (0.72 wt% F). Na, which replaces interlayered
Ca in the margarite structure, shows marked var-
iation from one crystal to another; the range of
the Na/(Na + Ca) ratio varies between 0.32 and
0.41. The trace elements are given in Table 4 (no.
1). The mica shows high concentrations of Be
(255 ppm), Sr (118 ppm) and B (88 ppm).

Fine-scaled massive muscovite forms a shell
around the margarite zone. The colour of the mi-
cica is light brown or grey. The muscovite zone is
1—5 cm thick but contains several subzones
differing in colour. The mica scales are only
0.01—0.05 mm long.

Microprobe analyses of the muscovite are
given in Table 1 (no. 10: mean of analyses, no.
11: the range, nos. 12—15 representative ana-
lyses). The muscovite is nearly ideal in formula,
although the combination of the mean of the
microprobe data and the separate fluorine and
water determinations (see Table 1, no. 6), and based on 24 (O,OH,F), is:

$$Ca_{1.39}Na_{0.59}K_{0.09} (Al_{4.07}Fe_{0.01}Li_{0.19}) (Si_{4.23}Al_{3.77}) (OH_{3.77}F_{0.30}) O_{19.91}$$

The trace elements of the mica are shown in
Table 4 (no. 7).
ous pseudomorphs are composed of very fine-scaled massive muscovite, whereas the zoned pseudomorphs contain a margarite-bearing core and may have some concentrically alternating margarite and muscovite zones; remnants of topaz have not been observed.

Mineralogy of a homogeneous mica pseudomorph

The homogeneous pseudomorph studied in detail is about 15 cm long, and the faces, being originally [110] faces of a topaz crystal, are about 4 cm wide. The pseudomorph has tapering terminations and uneven prism faces. The mica scales are 0.05—0.10 mm long in the middle of the pseudomorph, but the rim is coarse-scaled.

The microprobe analyses of the muscovite are shown in Table 2 (no. 1: mean of analyses, no. 2: ranges, nos. 3—5 representative analyses) and the trace elements in Table 4 (no. 5). The analytical results indicate that the muscovite would be ideally dioctahedral in composition, if the sum of the interlayer cations, 1.61—1.81, were not so low. The content of minor elements Fe and Na is minute.

Mineralogy of a zoned mica pseudomorph

The zoned pseudomorph studied in detail was originally about 12 cm long (Fig. 4A), and it is nearly a square in cross-section. The original (110) faces of the topaz crystal are well preserved, although uneven. The pyramidal faces are strongly deformed.

Figure 5 shows two polished sections of the pseudomorph. The core is composed of a fine-scaled margarite-muscovite mixture surrounded by an irregular, white margarite zone and muscovite zones. The margarite zone has several undulating subzones. The microprobe analyses indicate that also kaolinite, too, may occur with the micas, but the mineral could not be identified with x-ray powder diffraction. Some parts of the outer main muscovite zone are fibrous, and the muscovite fibres are perpendicular to the surface of the pseudomorph.

Muscovite is a massive, very fine-scaled (the scales 0.001—0.005 mm long), brownish yellow mineral. The main elements of the mica are shown in Table 2 (nos. 10—12) and the trace elements in Table 4 (no. 2). The analytical data indicate that the composition of muscovite does not vary much between the zones. The mica is near-
ly ideally dioctahedral in composition, and the ranges of the interlayer cations are from 1.71 to 1.77/O_20(OH,F)_4. The muscovite is poor in Na and very low in Fe.

**Margarite** is very fine-scaled like muscovite, but the colour is white. The microprobe analyses of the mica are given in Table 2 (no. 6—9). The composition of this margarite closely resembles that of the zoned topaz-margarite pseudomorph described above. The mica is, however, less sodic; the Na/(Na + Ca) ratio is between 0.21 and 0.31.

Because the margarite is very fine-scaled and intergrown with muscovite, separation of the mineral failed. The OES analysis was based on a margarite powder probably containing 10—20% muscovite. The analytical results show only 700 ppm Li and 40 ppm Be (Table 4, anal. 2), indicating that the margarite is poorer in these elements than is the fibrous margarite from the mica-topaz aggregate described above.

---

### Table 2. Chemical analyses of micas from a homogeneous columnar mica pseudomorph (sample no. 3, analyses 1—5) and from a columnar zoned mica pseudomorph (sample no. 4, analyses 6—12). Microprobe determinations by Seppo Sivonen.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO_2</td>
<td>47.37</td>
<td>46.11—47.93</td>
<td>46.74</td>
<td>47.65</td>
<td>47.12</td>
<td>32.55</td>
<td>31.21</td>
<td>30.42</td>
<td>30.52</td>
<td>46.20</td>
<td>45.18</td>
<td>46.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO_2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00—0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al_2O_3</td>
<td>38.28</td>
<td>37.50—38.81</td>
<td>37.98</td>
<td>38.43</td>
<td>37.50</td>
<td>48.42</td>
<td>49.15</td>
<td>48.62</td>
<td>49.78</td>
<td>39.27</td>
<td>37.44</td>
<td>37.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeOt</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.43—0.72</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00—0.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00—0.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00—0.05</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>10.43</td>
<td>10.04</td>
<td>9.73</td>
<td>9.47</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na_2O</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.29—0.62</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K_2O</td>
<td>9.46</td>
<td>8.99—10.04</td>
<td>9.69</td>
<td>9.07</td>
<td>9.71</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>9.51</td>
<td>9.73</td>
<td>9.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li_2O</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_2O + F</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>96.22</td>
<td>95.45</td>
<td>96.24</td>
<td>95.55</td>
<td>93.30</td>
<td>92.73</td>
<td>91.14</td>
<td>92.22</td>
<td>95.77</td>
<td>93.02</td>
<td>94.49</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 = Fine-scaled muscovite, sample 3, mean of 9 analyses
2 = Fine-scaled muscovite, sample 3, ranges of 9 analyses
3—5 = Fine-scaled muscovite, sample 3
6—9 = Fine-scaled margarite, sample 4
10—12 = Fine-scaled muscovite, sample 4

---
Comparison with other mica pseudomorphs

Mica pseudomorphs after tourmaline

The tourmaline (schorl) crystals occurring in the intermediate zone, albite-rich fracture fillings and replacement bodies are often partially replaced by fine-scaled muscovite (Fig. 6). Totally altered crystals are, however, rare, and the mica pseudomorphs often have a schorl core or small corroded inclusions of schorl.

The pseudomorphs are usually 0.5—3.0 cm in diameter and several centimetres long. The original hexagonal cross-section and the prismatic form of the tourmaline crystals may be well preserved in the pseudomorphs. The muscovite scales are yellowish in colour. The mica is usually coarser than in the pseudomorphs after topaz.

Two columnar mica pseudomorphs about 3 cm in diameter and several centimetres long, both of them consisting mainly of fine-scaled (scales 0.2—2.0 mm long), yellowish muscovite, were studied. Corroded fragments of black tourmaline are common in one of the pseudomorphs. The cross-sections of the pseudomorphs are roundish or partly triangular. The prismatic faces are uneven and the terminations irregular.

Table 3 (sample 5, nos. 1—4 and sample 6, nos. 5—9) gives the chemical composition of muscovite from both pseudomorphs. The formula of the mica computed on the basis of 24 \((\text{O,OH,F})\) from the mean of the microprobe analyses and separate fluorine and water determinations (Table 3, no. 5) is:

\[
(K_{1.67}Na_{0.03})(Al_{3.69}Fe_{0.31}Mn_{0.05}Mg_{0.04}Ti_{0.01})(Si_{6.34}Al_{1.66})(OH)_{3.70}F_{0.28}O_{20.02}
\]
Table 3. Chemical analyses of fine-scaled muscovite from two pseudomorphs after tourmaline (sample no. 5, analyses 1—4 and sample no. 6, analyses 5—9) and of a pseudomorph after garnet (sample no. 7, analyses 10 and 11). Microprobe determinations by Seppo Sivonen (nos. 1—9) and Bo Johanson (10 and 11). Fluorine and water analysed separately by Risto and sample no. 6, analyses 5—9) and of a pseudomorph after garnet (sample no. 7, analyses 10 and 11). Microprobe determinations by Seppo Sivonen (nos. 1—9) and Bo Johanson (10 and 11). Fluorine and water analysed separately by Risto Sivonen. — not determined.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>49.84</td>
<td>49.53</td>
<td>50.88</td>
<td>50.71</td>
<td>46.87</td>
<td>46.30—47.25</td>
<td>46.52</td>
<td>47.12</td>
<td>47.02</td>
<td>49.50</td>
<td>49.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.09—0.21</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>36.28</td>
<td>34.36</td>
<td>35.30</td>
<td>33.99</td>
<td>33.59</td>
<td>31.91—36.06</td>
<td>36.06</td>
<td>34.14</td>
<td>31.91</td>
<td>33.90</td>
<td>33.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeOt tot.</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>1.71—3.57</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>3.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.21—0.57</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.08—0.27</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00—0.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.25—0.35</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li₂O</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O +</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>98.52</td>
<td>97.06</td>
<td>98.84</td>
<td>98.14</td>
<td>98.67</td>
<td>94.16</td>
<td>93.87</td>
<td>93.81</td>
<td>96.75</td>
<td>95.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1—4 = Fine-scaled muscovite, sample 5
5 = Fine-scaled muscovite, sample 6, mean of 9 analyses
6 = Fine-scaled muscovite, sample 6, ranges of 9 analyses
7—9 = Fine-scaled muscovite, sample 6
10—11 = Fine-scaled muscovite, sample 7

The micas have prominent Fe, Mg, Mn and Ti, the range of the sum of these elements being 0.23—0.53 per O₃₀(OH,F)₂. The sum of the interlayer cations is very low (in the range 1.47—1.64/O₃₀(OH)₂). The trace elements determined from one of the specimens are listed in Table 4 (no. 8).

Mica-bearing pseudomorphs after beryl

The beryl occurring in the intermediate zone is fresh but in fracture fillings and albite-rich replacement units it is often altered. The size of the pseudomorphs varies widely. Some of the beryl crystals are huge being 10—30 cm in diameter, and partially altered. However, the smaller crystals may be totally altered and filled with replacement and alteration products.

Platy, colourless bertrandite is often a main mineral in the pseudomorphs (see Lahti 1981), but porous pseudomorphs composed of massive chlorite-bertrandite-muscovite intergrowth are also common. The colour of the mica intergrowths is greyish or brownish when stained with
Table 4. The trace and minor elements (ppm) in topaz (9) and in the micas of the pseudomorphs after topaz (1—7) and tourmaline (8). ICP-AES analyses x (by Eeva Kallio), OES analyses • (by Christian Backman) and AAS analyses + (by Risto Saikkonen) and XRF analyses * (by V. Hoffren). — not observed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>88+</td>
<td>80+</td>
<td>100+</td>
<td>72+</td>
<td>37+</td>
<td>&gt;300+</td>
<td>140+</td>
<td>&lt;20+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td>1700+</td>
<td>700+</td>
<td>443+</td>
<td>370+</td>
<td>430+</td>
<td>300+</td>
<td>250+</td>
<td>1025+</td>
<td>&lt;500+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>5+</td>
<td>830+</td>
<td>1080+</td>
<td>1650+</td>
<td>1200+</td>
<td>2230+</td>
<td>2070+</td>
<td>1790+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>120+</td>
<td>89+</td>
<td>1740+</td>
<td>1400+</td>
<td>1400+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be</td>
<td>255+</td>
<td>40+</td>
<td>63+</td>
<td>6+</td>
<td>14+</td>
<td>13+</td>
<td>25+</td>
<td>16+</td>
<td>&lt;5+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>118+</td>
<td>60+</td>
<td>32+</td>
<td>15+</td>
<td>18+</td>
<td>19+</td>
<td>8+</td>
<td>8+</td>
<td>&lt;5+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>18x</td>
<td>20+</td>
<td>150x</td>
<td>20x</td>
<td>71x</td>
<td>13x</td>
<td>23x</td>
<td>64x</td>
<td>18x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn</td>
<td>400+</td>
<td>400+</td>
<td>400+</td>
<td></td>
<td>500+</td>
<td>500+</td>
<td>400+</td>
<td>900+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>68x</td>
<td>300+</td>
<td>159x</td>
<td>638x</td>
<td>208x</td>
<td>1799x</td>
<td>1124x</td>
<td>1187x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>10x</td>
<td>6x</td>
<td>57x</td>
<td>12x</td>
<td>327x</td>
<td>3x</td>
<td>18x</td>
<td>5x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>80x</td>
<td>28x</td>
<td>75x</td>
<td>51x</td>
<td>110x</td>
<td>51x</td>
<td>117x</td>
<td>10x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>15+</td>
<td>38+</td>
<td>30+</td>
<td>90+</td>
<td>38+</td>
<td>100+</td>
<td>66+</td>
<td>&gt;100+</td>
<td>&lt;10+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>32x</td>
<td>&lt;20+</td>
<td>19x</td>
<td>6x</td>
<td>10x</td>
<td>12x</td>
<td>25x</td>
<td>19x</td>
<td>32x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Fibrous margarite, sample no. 2  
2. Margarite + Muscovite, sample no. 4  
3. Fine-scaled muscovite, sample no. 4  
4. Fine-scaled muscovite, sample no. 1  
5. Fine-scaled muscovite, sample no. 3  
6. Pink muscovite, sample no. 1  
7. Pink muscovite, sample no. 2  
8. Muscovite, sample no. 5  
9. Topaz, sample no. 2

Iron hydroxides. Similar pseudomorphs after beryl have been described by Roering and Heckeroodt (1972) from the Dernburg pegmatite, Karibib, South West Africa.

**Mica-bearing pseudomorphs after garnet**

Almandine-spessartine garnet has been encountered as an accessory mineral in various parts of the pegmatite. The crystals are euhedral or subhedral, more commonly showing [211] faces. The mineral is usually fresh, but during the studies some muscovite pseudomorphs after garnet were encountered in the albite-quartz pegmatite associated with pseudomorphs after beryl, topaz and tourmaline (Fig. 7). The muscovite is fine-scaled, with flakes 0.05—2.0 mm long and a brown yellow colour. The pseudomorphs do not contain fragments of garnet, but the original crystal form (icositetrahedron) of garnet is often well-preserved.

Two microprobe analyses of the muscovite in a pseudomorph after garnet are given in Table 3 (nos. 10 and 11). The muscovite is rich in Fe and Ti as are the micas in the pseudomorphs after tourmaline, but they contain less Na. The sum of Fe, Mn, Mg and Ti ranges from 0.41 to 0.46 per $O_{20}(OH,F)_{4}$. The $Na/(Na + K)$ ratio is
only 0.01. The sum of the interlayer cations is very low, being between 1.52 and 1.61 per \( \text{O}_{20}(\text{OH,F})_4 \).

**Discussion**

**General alteration trends of topaz in pegmatites**

Several examples of the alteration of topaz to muscovite, clay minerals or paragonite have been described in the literature, although the composition and properties of the micas have rarely been studied in detail. Blue-green topaz crystals are common in the Viitaniemi pegmatite near the Juurakko dyke. The mineral is usually fresh and only rarely replaced by fine-scaled greenish muscovite. Pink muscovite and clay minerals characterize the pseudomorphs after topaz in the Harding pegmatite, New Mexico (Jahns and Ewing 1977) and in the Brown Derby pegmatite, Colorado (Rosenberg 1972). In the Pidlite pegmatite, New Mexico, pink muscovite and paragonite replace topaz crystals (Jahns 1953).

Pink, lilac or rose muscovites, which seem to be common in the pseudomorphs after topaz, are generally very poor in iron, but the micas are enriched in manganese (Heinrich and Levinson 1953). The pseudomorphs may also contain iron-rich muscovite or hydromuscovite. Kornetova (1954) has described phengitic muscovites from a pseudomorph after topaz in a pegmatite of East Baikal, and Marchenko and Polynovskij (1970) have reported their occurrence in the pegmatites of the Ukraine. The fibrous habitus of the mica described by Marchenko and Polynovskij is attributed to tectonic stress accompanying the replacement process. Alteration of topaz, especially in greisens, is common, and the alteration products of topaz are lithium- and iron-rich muscovites or hydromuscovites (Grigorév and Dolomanova 1954, Kelly and Rye 1979).

The alteration of topaz is caused by the supercritical vapour phase released from the pegmatite melt during the final phase of crystallization of the pegmatite dyke. During the break-down processes, aluminium is often incorporated into micas and clay minerals, but the composition of the micas and the crystallization of the other decomposition products are largely dependent on the concentrations of various elements in the fluids and on the physicochemical conditions during the alteration.

Phase diagrams of the stability and subsolidus alteration of topaz have been presented by Burt (1976, 1981), Burt and London (1982) and Barton (1982). According to them, the alteration reaction of topaz to muscovite can be written as follows:

\[
\text{Al}_2\text{SiO}_4(\text{F,OH})_2 + \text{KAISi}_3\text{O}_8 \rightarrow 2\text{H}_2\text{O} = \text{KAl}_3\text{Si}_3\text{O}_{10}(\text{F,OH})_2 + \text{SiO}_2 + 2\text{HF}
\]

The reaction goes to the right on cooling and could produce the very fluorine rich hydrothermal residual fluids and muscovite-quartz greisen type alteration. Fluorite that often occur with the alteration products of aluminosilicates, is a common fluorine buffer in these mineral parageneses.

To the knowledge of the author, this is the first time margarite has been described as an alteration product of topaz. The occurrence of margarite in the Juurakko pegmatite is also restrict-
The source of calcium in the hydrothermal fluids may be the plagioclase undergoing subsolidus alteration.

Corroded cores of topaz crystals are typical of the pseudomorphs, but concentric zoning of various micas similar to that in the pseudomorphs of the Juurakko pegmatite has not previously been described to the knowledge of the author. The alternating zones of margarite and muscovite may be a result of diffusion during alteration. The occurrence of discontinuous shells of fibrous margarite around the topaz core may indicate that the mineral crystallized in the crack or in a solution cavity opened between the topaz core and the surrounding muscovite shell. The fibrous appearance is characteristic of minerals crystallized during the opening of fractures of rocks (Grigor'ev 1965, pp. 190—191, Rutstein 1979).

**Chemistry of muscovite in the pseudomorphs**

The fine-scaled muscovite analysed from four pseudomorphs after topaz is almost ideally dioctahedral in composition, and the amount of paragonite and phengite components is minute (see Fig. 8). Replacement of K by Na or Ca and octahedral Al by Fe, Mn, Mg and Li is slight. The Na/(Na + K) ratio and the sum of Fe, Mg, Mn and Ti/O\(_{20}(OH,F)\)_2 are always below 0.10. The interlayer cations do not show marked deficiency (the sum is usually 1.76—1.86). The excess of (OH + F) may be attributed partly to analytical errors and partly to substitution of H\(_3\)O\(^+\) ions.

The pink muscovite surrounding the pseudomorphs was also generated during the alteration processes of topaz. The mica is poorer in Fe and richer in Mn than is the massive muscovite. The Mn/Fe ratio is high (between 2 and 16) as it is in the pink or lilac muscovites described in the literature (Heinrich and Levinson 1953). The content of trace elements in the muscovite from the pseudomorphs after topaz is relatively low (Table 4). The pink muscovites are slightly enriched in Rb, Li and Cs (see Table 4), probably because of being the last micas of the pseudomorphs to crystallize. Of the trace elements, Rb, Cs and Ga have become generally enriched in the muscovites, and Li, Be and Sr in margarite.

The replacement of tourmaline and garnet by Fe-, Mn-, Mg- and Ti-bearing muscovite can also be accounted for by the alteration processes of topaz caused by potassium-bearing fluids. The muscovites differ in composition from those occurring in the pseudomorphs after topaz. The high concentrations of these above mentioned elements are due to the break-down products of the minerals. During the alteration of tourmaline, boron is assumed to form a sodium-tetraborate fluid that, together with the remaining alteration products, promotes precipitation of the last tourmaline generations in the replacement bodies and fracture fillings (cf. London 1986).

The sum of Fe, Mg and Mn/O\(_{20}(OH,F)\)_4 ranges between 0.23 and 0.53 in the muscovite from the pseudomorphs after tourmaline. Owing to Tschermak's substitution, the micas are enriched in Si\(^{IV}\), the range being 6.21—6.49/O\(_{20}(OH,F)\)_4. The mica is poor in Na (Na/(Na + K)
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0.04—0.06), and the sum of the interlayer cations is low, between 1.47 and 1.82. The chemistry of the muscovite in the pseudomorphs after garnet in the Juurakko pegmatite seems to be similar. Correspondingly, hydrous phengitic muscovites have often been described from pseudomorphs after tourmaline and other iron-bearing silicates in many pegmatites, although the chemistry of the micas depends largely on the composition of tourmaline and on the fluids (Mäkinen 1913, Quensel 1956, Haapala 1966, Foord 1976, Maleev et al. 1977).

Chemistry of margarite in the pseudomorphs

The composition of natural margarites varies within certain limits (Frey et al. 1982). The interlayer Ca may be partly replaced by Na and to compensate for the charge difference, Si replaces tetrahedral Al, approaching the dioctahedral mica paragonite, \( \text{Na}_2\text{Al}_4\text{Si}_6\text{Al}_2\text{O}_{20}(\text{OH,F})_4 \), in composition (Guidotti 1984). Lithium which replaces octahedral cations is usually a trace element in margarite, although some exceptional Na- and Li-bearing margarites have been reported in the literature. The composition gap between margarite and the trioctahedral Na-Li mica ephesite, \( \text{Na}_2\text{Li}_2\text{Al}_4\text{Si}_4\text{O}_{20}(\text{OH,F})_4 \), is, however, very large in natural micas (Grew et al. 1986). According to Schaller et al. (1967) and Guggenheim (1984), charge compensation in the Li substitution involves a coupled substitution \( \text{Na}^+ = \text{Ca}^{2+} \), vacancy.

Margarites generally have a paragonite component of 10—30 %, rarely even up to 40 %, as a solid solution (Frey et al. 1982, Guidotti 1984 p. 362). Li- and Na-bearing margarites close in composition to the Juurakko margarite seem to be rare in nature, only a few analyses containing prominent Li having been documented. Margarite from Chester, Massachusetts, studied by Langer et al. (1981), and margarite from Greiner, Zillertal, Tirol, studied by Joswig et al. (1983), contain 0.43 wt% \( \text{Li}_2\text{O} \) and notable sodium. The occurrence of these micas has not, however, been described in detail. Grew et al. (1986) reported margarite with 0.17 wt% \( \text{Li}_2\text{O} \) from the peraluminous schists in Mount Bernstein, northern Victoria Land, Antarctica.

The Juurakko margarite is an intermediate form between margarite, paragonite and ephesite (Tables 1 and 2, see also the triangular diagram in Fig. 8). Calculated from the chemical analysis of the powdered sample, the end-member composition of the fibrous margarite is: margarite 57.9 %, paragonite 30.0 %, ephesite 9.6 % and muscovite 2.5 %.

The \( \text{Si}^{(IV)}/\text{Al}^{(IV)} \) ratio of the margarites studied in detail ranges between 1.08 and 1.36, although as Figure 9 shows, the margarites have an excess of \( \text{Al}^{(IV)} \) and a deficiency of Si relative to the ideal solid solution of paragonite and margarite. The excess of Al is due to the solid solution of ephesite in margarite. Figure 9 shows that the analytical points are scattered over the margarite-paragonite substitution line, obviously owing to the Na, Li = Ca, vacancy replacement (cf. Frey et al. 1982). Unfortunately, the content of Li could not be determined with the microprobe.

![Fig. 9. The analytical data on the Juurakko margarite plotted in the Na/(Na + Ca) versus Si/(Si + Al[IV]) diagram. The figure shows that the Juurakko margarites have an excess of Al[IV] and a deficiency of Si relative to the ideal solid solution of margarite and paragonite as a result of marked substitution of the ephesite component.](image-url)
Fluorine has seldom been analysed from margarite, and the concentrations, when reported, are very low (see e.g. Grew et al. 1986). The Juurakko margarite is slightly enriched in fluorine \((F/(F+OH) = 0.16)\). This can be expected because the mineral crystallized as a result of the alteration processes of topaz.

Neither bityite nor beryllium margarite has been encountered in the Juurakko pegmatite. The concentration of Be in the fibrous margarite is low, 255 ppm, even though Be-bearing fluids were probably formed at the same time owing to the break-down processes of beryl. The bityites and beryllium margarites described in the literature always contain several per cent of beryllium, and the micas are much richer in Li and poorer in Na (Lahti and Saikkonen 1985). The Li content of the hydrothermal fluids that caused the alteration of beryl, topaz and other silicates was probably so low that no bityite or beryllium margarite could crystallize.
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